

**REMARKS**

A review of the claims indicates that:

A) Claim 39 is currently amended.

A) Claims 25—38 and 40—44 are previously presented.

B) Claims 1—24 are previously cancelled.

In view of the following remarks, Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of the rejected claims and withdrawal of the rejections.

**Restriction Requirement**

The Applicant elects Species I.

The Patent Office has imposed a restriction requirement, including election of Species I or Species II. As the Applicant understands the restriction, the difference between the species is related to whether or not the size of the color patches is allowed to vary. In Species II, “the size of the color ramps is reduced such that X number of color ramps would in fit a row after size reduction” (Office Action mailed 09/12/2006, middle of page 2).

In response, the Applicant has amended Claim 39 to eliminate any aspect of changing the size of the color patches. Accordingly, all claims conform to the teachings of Species I.

In view of the amendment of Claim 39, a listing of claims reading on Species I includes Claims 25—44.

**Conclusion**


The Applicant submits that all of the claims are in condition for allowance and respectfully requests that a Notice of Allowability be issued. If the Office’s next anticipated action is not the issuance of a Notice of Allowability, the

1 Applicant respectfully requests that the undersigned attorney be contacted to  
2 schedule an interview.

3  
4 Respectfully Submitted,

5  
6 Dated: 10-11-2006

By:



David S. Thompson  
Reg. No. 37,954  
Attorney for Applicant

LEE & HAYES PLLC  
Suite 500  
421 W. Riverside Avenue  
Spokane, Washington 99201  
Telephone: 509-324-9256 x235  
Facsimile: (509) 323-8979